IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

Writ Petition No. 1124 of 07
Sudhakar v. State and ors

--
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Court's or Judge's Orders
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders.

Mrs B.P. Maldhure, Adv for petitioner.
Mr Thakre, AGP for respondent no.1.
Mr M.K. Pathan, Adv for respondent no.2.

Coram : D.K. Deshmukh and R.C. Chavan, JJ

Dated : 20.9.2007

Petitioner, claiming to be a handicapped person, had applied for the post of peon. There were initially ten vacancies advertised, but according to the respondent no.2 Corporation, they have filled in only six vacancies as they have taken decision not to fill in rest of the posts. Therefore, there is no question of issuing any direction to the respondent no.2 Corporation to make appointments against balance posts. It is in the realm of policy of the respondent no.2

Corporation as to how many posts should be filled in and how many should be kept vacant. That depends on exigency of the administration. Petition rejected.

Judge. Judge.

hsj